

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,270	01/05/2006	Yukio Kuramasu	96790P513	3356	
8791 BLAKELY SC	7590 04/07/200 OKOLOFF TAYLOR &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			VANCHY JR, MICHAEL J		
SUNNYVALI	E, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2624	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/560,270	KURAMASU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL VANCHY JR	2624	

	MICHAEL VANCHY JR	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a				
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ol>							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co.	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Inpliant Americanient (	1 OL-324).				
[] Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pror The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8.11.13.14 and 16-19.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
is. 🔲 Otilei							
/Matthew C Bella/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624	/Michael Vanchy Jr./ Examiner, Art Unit 2624						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner does not find the Applicant's argument persuasive. The Applicant argues that none of the order feferences (specifically Tobin, US 5,982,920) beach detecting inclusions within aluminum. The Office Action had a typographical error, where the cited teachings for Tobin stated (col. 7, lines 1-15) it should have stated (col. 7, lines 1-62). However, the Applicant stated that the teaching couldn't be found any place within the Tobin reference. The cited lines clearly state, "For example, it could be used to detect defects (similar to semiconductor defect creation mechanisms) in flat panel display manufacturing; inclusions and pinch roller damage defects in continuously formed sheet steel and aluminum." Thus, the Examiner upholds the previous rejection.